

From: [Defreese, Amy](#)
To: [Terry Messmer](#)
Cc: [Pam Kramer](#); [Betsy Herrmann](#); [Masako Wright](#); [Traci Allen](#); [Adam Brewerton](#); [JASON ROBINSON](#)
Subject: Re: Project proposal: Demography, vital rates, habitat use and seasonal movements of greater sage-grouse in the Ruby Pipeline HUC 8
Date: Monday, March 11, 2013 9:15:41 PM

Thank you for your correspondence Terry. It looks like there may be some confusion on my end. I will speak with Pam and Traci tomorrow and will work to clear it up. I'll be in touch as soon as I do.

Best regards,
Amy

*Amy Defreese, Ecologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Utah Field Office
2369 W. Orton Circle, Suite 50
West Valley City, Utah 84119*

*Office: (801) 975-3330 x 128
Fax: (801) 975-3331
Email: amy_defreese@fws.gov*

On Sun, Mar 10, 2013 at 10:03 AM, Terry Messmer <terry.messmer@usu.edu> wrote:
Amy

I am a little confused.

I looked at what you sent me and this is the original 2011 proposal. I submitted an updated proposal this year through the WRI web site containing the modifications. Both DWR and BLM have received annual reports and both quarterly updates. These report(s) are also posted on our web site. www.utahcbcp.org. These data were and are being used to develop and implement the recommendations in the Utah Governor's plan. We have focused our efforts to address all the issues raised in the UBARM (2007) plan to include identifying new leks. To do this we hired and equipped our own pilot. In 2013 at Traci's request we are going to flew the Pilot MT area to search for new leks. We have also doubled our efforts in increase our sample sizes in both the Rosebud and Park Valley subunits. We are over 60 birds and hope to hit 100 this spring. We aslo are working with the new West Box Elder CRM process to provide them important information to guide the CRM planning process. This is discussed in our annual report. The next annual report is due this fall.

We did find two new leks and one is on the pipeline route

Regarding the 2013 proposal, I have received comments from reviewers on line and responded to them.

Traci and Jim have been following this work

Maybe they can weigh in to help clear up this confusion.

Best

Terry

From: Amy Defreese [amy_defreese@fws.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 08, 2013 2:06 PM

To: Terry Messmer

Cc: Pam Kramer; Betsy Herrmann; Masako Wright; Traci Allen; Adam Brewerton

Subject: Project proposal: Demography, vital rates, habitat use and seasonal movements of greater sage-grouse in the Ruby Pipeline HUC 8

Hi Terry,

I hope you are doing well and enjoying the greater sage-grouse active season! I am writing because I am the current chair of the Northern Region UPCD-UWRI group and we are currently reviewing and ranking funding proposals for FY14. A small group familiar with the unique circumstances surrounding Ruby mitigation monies is reviewing your project proposal for a continuation of funding for greater sage-grouse. If you recall, Paul Burnett was the chair of the UPCD-UWRI Northern region group several years ago when you initially applied for funding. The attached document was forwarded to me and I am wondering if we could revisit it and perhaps update it. I've taken the liberty to make some changes to the document as discussed by the group that is reviewing your project proposal. Would you review the changes and let me know if you can accommodate them? I've also put some questions in the margins that I'm hoping you can address.

Thanks Terry!

Best regards,

Amy

Amy Defreese, Ecologist

Utah Field Office

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

2369 W. Orton Circle, Suite 50

West Valley City, Utah 84119

Email: amy_defreese@fws.gov

Phone: 801-975-3330 x 128

